**Appendix I. Grant Scoring Rubric**

*\*\* For completion by internal reviewers only.*

Applicant Organization:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

| **Criteria** | **Score** | **Total Possible Points** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **The applying organization has never been awarded a Healthy Places grant before.**  Organizations that have been previously awarded funding will be considered only after first-time grantees have been assessed. |  | 5 |
| **The proposed project aims to improve health through a built environment change.**  The proposed project clearly changes or improves the physical makeup of the neighborhood or environment. It will improve the community’s health. |  | 25 |
| **The application is complete. It fully explains:**   * How the project serves an overburdened population. * A connection to the Community Health Improvement Plan priorities. * How the project provides unique or innovative opportunities to a specific community. * A plan to measure success for the project. * A plan to maintain the project through the years. |  | 25 |
| **The proposed project demonstrates community partnerships.**   * There is at least one community partner identified. * All committed partners have submitted a letter of support. |  | 15 |
| **The workplan is thorough and complete.**   * The workplan contains enough detail to understand the steps needed to complete the project. * Each project goal clearly identifies activities that will ensure the project is complete. |  | 15 |
| **The budget is complete.**   * The budget does not contain any unallowable costs, such as personnel. * The budget identifies outside sources of funding that will support the project, even if those contributions are in-kind. |  | 15 |
| **Total Score** |  | **100** |